

# Learning from Complaints 2024/25

### Quarter 1 – 1 April 2024 to 30 June 2024

**Total Number of Complaints Received** which includes the number of complaints received at Stage 1 (this includes escalated complaints, as they were first received at Stage 1), and the number of complaints received directly at Stage 2: **1** 

Stage 1 Complaints	
The total no. of Stage 1 complaints	0
No. of complaints closed in full within 5 working	N/A
days	
Percentage of complaints closed in full within 5	N/A
working days	
Stage 1 – response in 5 working days	N/A
Average no of working days to respond	N/A
No. escalated to Stage 2	N/A
Outcome of Stage 1 Complaints	N/A
resolved/upheld/partially upheld/ not upheld	

Escalated Complaints		
The total no. of Escalated complaints	1	
No. of complaints closed in full within 20	1	
working days		
Percentage of complaints closed in full within	100%	
20 working days		
Average no of working days to respond	18	
Outcome of Escalated Complaints	0% resolved/0% upheld/100% partially	
resolved/upheld/partially upheld/ not upheld	upheld/0% not upheld	

Stage 2 Complaints	
The total no. of Stage 2 complaints	0
No. of complaints closed in full within 20	N/A
working days	
Percentage of complaints closed in full within	N/A
20 working days	
Stage 2 – response in 20 working days	N/A
Average no of working days to respond	N/A
Outcome of Stage 2 Complaints	N/A
resolved/upheld/partially upheld/ not upheld	

Outcome: the one complaint received was in relation to the service they had received from the Valuation Section of Renfrewshire Valuation Joint Board in relation to an invalid council tax appeal.

The complaint was escalated to Stage 2 and was partially upheld. The complainant was dissatisfied with the service they had received from members of the valuation team and after investigation of the 17 points raised in the complaint, 1 point was upheld. The point was in relation to receiving unscheduled phone calls from staff. It was explained staff do normally ask if a person is able to take a call before proceeding with the discussion and if it is not suitable, they will arrange to telephone at a mutually convenient time. This part of the complaint was upheld and a reminder issued for staff and if required, training in relation to phone calls with stakeholders.

#### Quarter 2 – 1 July 2024 to 30 September 2024

**Total Number of Complaints Received** which includes the number of complaints received at Stage 1 (this includes escalated complaints, as they were first received at Stage 1), and the number of complaints received directly at Stage 2: **0** 

#### No complaints were received in Quarter 2 of 2024/25.

## Quarter 3 – 1 October 2024 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2024

**Total Number of Complaints Received** which includes the number of complaints received at Stage 1 (this includes escalated complaints, as they were first received at Stage 1), and the number of complaints received directly at Stage 2: **3** 

Stage 1 Complaints		
The total no. of Stage 1 complaints	3	
No. of complaints closed in full within 5 working	5	
days		
Percentage of complaints closed in full within 5	100%	
working days		
Stage 1 – response in 5 working days	100%	
Average no of working days to respond	1	
No. escalated to Stage 2	0	
Outcome of Stage 1 Complaints	0% resolved/33% upheld/0% partially	
resolved/upheld/partially upheld/ not upheld	upheld/67% not upheld	

Escalated Complaints	
The total no. of Escalated complaints	0
No. of complaints closed in full within 20	N/A
working days	
Percentage of complaints closed in full within	N/A
20 working days	
Average no of working days to respond	N/A
Outcome of Escalated Complaints	N/A
resolved/upheld/partially upheld/ not upheld	

Stage 2 Complaints		
The total no. of Stage 2 complaints	0	

No. of complaints closed in full within 20 working days	N/A
Percentage of complaints closed in full within	N/A
20 working days	
Stage 2 – response in 20 working days	N/A
Average no of working days to respond	N/A
Outcome of Stage 2 Complaints resolved/upheld/partially upheld/ not upheld	N/A

Outcome no 1: the first complaint received was in relation to the service they had received from the Valuation Section of Renfrewshire Valuation Joint Board in relation to an invalid council tax appeal.

The complainant was dissatisfied with the time taken to deal with their invalid council tax appeal. The Principal Valuer for Renfrewshire apologised and explained the demands on our service has meant we had to divert resources to time critical statutory duties, in addition to there being no legal requirement for the Assessor to consider invalid council tax appeals. An update on progress will be given to complainant to ensure they are informed as to when their invalid council tax appeal will be dealt with.

The complaint was dealt with at Stage 1 – Frontline Resolution and not upheld

Outcome no 2 : the second complaint received related to Electoral Registration. The complainant felt they were disenfranchised as they had not received a poll card for a by election which was taking place within Ward 5 Gourock as well as their address being incorrect. The Senior Clerical Manager explained to them they were not eligible to vote in the by election as it was not being held in their ward. The Senior Clerical Manager also confirmed their address was correct per Royal Mail and Inverclyde Council's CAG Custodian and if they wished this to be amended, to contact the CAG Custodian.

The complaint was dealt with at Stage 1 – Frontline Resolution and not upheld.

Outcome no 3 : A letter was issued to a potential elector which had another person's name, address and elector no contained within the second side of the letter. On investigation the letter was sent double sided as opposed to single sided on our hybrid mailing system. The incident was classed as an information security incident and dealt with in line with this procedure, it was not reportable to the Information Commissioner's Office as confirmed by our Data Protection Officer.

The Clerical Assistant who took the call from the complainant's mother, apologised for the letter being sent double sided and confirmed their information had not been sent to another party. Extra training for the team in relation to the hybrid mailing system will be undertaken and software changes to the templates have already been completed to mitigate against this type of error.

The complaint was dealt with at Stage 1 – Frontline Resolution and upheld.

Quarter 4 – 1 January 2025 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025 which includes the number of complaints received at Stage 1 (this includes escalated complaints, as they were first received at Stage 1), and the number of complaints received directly at Stage 2: 0

L Hendry 5<sup>th</sup> May 2025